1 2	Luis A. Carrillo, Esq. (SBN 70398) Lac4justice@gmail.com Michael S. Carrillo, Esq. (SBN 258878) mc@carrillofirm.com	
3	CARRILLO LAW FIRM, LLP 1499 Huntington Dr., Ste. 402	
4	South Pasadena, CA 91030 Telephone: 626-799-9375	
5	Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (SBN 144074)	
6	dalekgalipo@yahoo.com LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO 21800 Burbank Blvd., Suite 310)
7	Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (818)347-3333	
8		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
12	MARGARITO T. LOPEZ individually and as successor in interest to	Case No.: 2:22-cv-07534-FLA-MAAN Hon. Judge Fernando L. Aenlle-Rocha
13	Margarito E. Lopez, Deceased; SONIA	Hon. Mag. Maria A. Audero
14 15	TORRES, KENI LOPEZ, and ROSY LOPEZ, individually,	PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE
16	Plaintiffs,	FPTC: May 31, 2024
17	VS.	Trial: July 16, 2024
18	CITY OF LOS ANGELES; JOSE	
19	ZAVALA; JULIO QUINTANILLA; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES HEREIN: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiffs hereby submit their [Proposed] Voir Dire Questions. Respectfully submitted, DATED: May 17, 2024 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO By /s/ Dale K. Galipo Dale K. Galipo Renee V. Masongsong Shannon J. Leap Attorneys for Plaintiffs

PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE

PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS

Plaintiffs hereby respectfully request the Court to ask the jury panel the following proposed voir dire questions as set forth below:

Questions re: Drug Bias

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs request that this Court voir dire the jury on drug bias only in the event that the Court denies Plaintiffs' motion in limine on that issue (No. 1.).

- 1. Do you have any feelings, thoughts, or preconceptions about people who use drugs?
- 2. Do you think it would be difficult to be fair to both sides if you heard evidence that one of the parties had used drugs?
- 3. Do you have any particular knowledge or information regarding the effects of drugs on a person?
- 4. If you heard evidence that one of the parties had used drugs, do you think that you could still find that the officers' conduct was unreasonable?
- 5. Do you have any feelings, one way or another, about whether a person who uses drugs deserves the same protection from excessive force by police officers as everyone else?

Questions re: Criminal History

Plaintiffs request that this Court voir dire the jury on criminal history only in the event that the Court denies Plaintiffs' motion in limine on that issue (No. 1).

- 6. Do you know anyone who has ever been arrested?
- 7. Do you have any feelings, thoughts, or preconceptions about people who have been arrested?

Questions re: Peace Officer Bias

- 8. Would you be more likely to believe a police officer's testimony over the testimony of a non-police officer?
- 9. Do you think a police officer who is a defendant in a civil case is capable of testifying falsely under oath?

a) Who do you know?

28

1	b) What is or was their job?
2	c) How long were they employed?
3	d) Would you view the evidence in this case differently because of
4	your past experience with the City of Los Angeles Police
5	Department, or any of their employees?
6	Questions re: Justiciability of Peace Officer Conduct
7	20.Do you think that our society should hold police officers liable in court when
8	they violate an individual's constitutional rights?
9	21.Do you agree that police officers or the entity they work for should pay
10	money damages when they violate an individual's constitutional rights?
11	22.Do you think that police officers should be able to use as much force as they
12	want when arresting someone?
13	23.Do you think that our society should restrict the amount of force that police
14	officers can use?
15	Questions re: General Juror Bias & Bias Against Actions for Money Damages
15 16	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this
16	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this
16 17	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible?
16 17 18	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible?25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they
16 17 18 19 20	 24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible? 25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial? DATED: May 17, 2024 CARRILLO LAW FIRM, LLP
16 17 18 19 20 21	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible?25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial?
16 17 18 19	 24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible? 25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial? DATED: May 17, 2024
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible? 25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial? DATED: May 17, 2024 CARRILLO LAW FIRM, LLP LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO By: /s/ Dale K. Galipo
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible? 25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial? DATED: May 17, 2024 CARRILLO LAW FIRM, LLP LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO By: /s/ Dale K. Galipo Luis A. Carrillo Michael S. Carrillo
16 17 18 19 20 22 22 23 24	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible? 25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial? DATED: May 17, 2024 CARRILLO LAW FIRM, LLP LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO By: /s/ Dale K. Galipo Luis A. Carrillo Michael S. Carrillo Dale K. Galipo
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible? 25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial? DATED: May 17, 2024 CARRILLO LAW FIRM, LLP LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO By: /s/ Dale K. Galipo Luis A. Carrillo Michael S. Carrillo
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	24.Do you think that because the defendants are denying responsibility for this incident, they are probably not responsible? 25.Is there anybody that would hold it against the plaintiffs simply because they have pursued this case to trial? DATED: May 17, 2024 CARRILLO LAW FIRM, LLP LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO By: /s/ Dale K. Galipo Luis A. Carrillo Michael S. Carrillo Dale K. Galipo